Insights into prepartum cervix remodeling and approaches from LLUSM resources

> Steven M. Yellon, PhD Professor of Physiology and Associate Director CENTER FOR PERINATAL BIOLOGY Loma Linda University School of Medicine Director of Infrastructure and Core Facilities October 21, 2016 LLU Basic Sciences Symposium

### PTB 2012 World health report and 2014 NCHS-USA



Not applicable:: non WHO Members State

# Closed then open case for timing of birth?



Tucker and McGuire, BMJ 18;329, 2004

Picrosirius red-stained collagen and optical density analysis of cervix biopsies from nonpregnant (NP), pregnant and immediately postpartum women

 $\equiv$ 



# Rodent female reproductive tract



#### 

### Characteristics of cervix remodeling in mice



PMID18003949,19087974,21613631

# <sup>-</sup>Μφ increase in the murine prepartum cervix



# Cervix stroma hypertrophy with pregnancy



![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

Day of birth

Late Nice Bremancy

# Translational analyses of cervix biospies in women.

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

PMID26608218

# <sup>H</sup>lypertrophy and increase in resident Mφs in prepartum human cervix

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

PMID26608218

# Confocal images of activation markers in Mp phenotypes

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Association of Macrophage Phenotypes in Cervix Remodeling

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

Xew Jo %

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

LOMA LINDA UNIVERSITY

#### Flow cytometry gating strategy to identify leukocyte populations Kimberly Payne Ph.D., Associate Professor of Human Anatomy, CHDMM

![](_page_11_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_6.jpeg)

2.0

1.5 1.0 0.5

MFI x 10<sup>3</sup>

![](_page_11_Figure_7.jpeg)

D15

D18

![](_page_11_Figure_8.jpeg)

## Final common pathway for cervix remodeling at term and with preterm birth

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

Steven M. Yellon Ph.D., Administrative Director Sean M. Wilson Ph.D., Technical Director Monica Romero, Core Facility Manager

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

Medical Research Wing Room A522, x15807

http://medicine.llu.edu/research/core-facilities/advanced-imaging-and-microscopy-core

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

Drs. Steven Yellon, Sean Wilson, and Lawrence D. Longo at the opening of the Advanced Imaging & Microscopy Facility.

# LLUSM AIM Vision

To serve the Mission of Loma Linda University, the core facility will meet the educational, training, and research needs of students and investigators with advanced systems to capture and analyze microscopic images.

### Laser Scanning Confocal Microscopes

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Olympus FV1000

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Brightfield and epifluorescence microscopes

#### Zeiss Axio Imager A1

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Nikon Optiphot

![](_page_17_Picture_4.jpeg)

Macrophage density in cervix biopsies from nonpregnant and prepartum women at term and with preterm birth- use of Stereologer

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

PMID26608218

### Zeiss stereomicroscope and halogen light source

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

The core facility has a Zeiss stereomicroscope and halogen light source for tissue and imaging chamber preparation.

### Work stations and Image analyses systems

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Stereologer unbiased image analysis

### Imaris

tracking and analysis of cells and organelles, tracking of cell division, lineage analysis, rotational and translational drift correction, angle measurements, a wide range of plugins (XTensions) and advanced interactive plotting http://www.bitplane.com/

# Acknowledgments

NIH R01 HD054931, Department of Pediatrics, NSF, LLUSM AIM core facility, Dean School of Medicine

Research assistants Anne Heuerman Trish Mazurek Jessica Steinbach

Co-investigator Michael A. Kirby, Ph.D.

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Assessment extracellular collagen structure and content in cervix by TEM and birefringence of picrosirius red stain

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

Table II Mean fibril diameter, interfibrillary distance, and length (in nm) at term (day 21) after treatment with PGE2 (rat)

	$\frac{\text{Diameter}}{(\text{mean} \pm \text{SEM})}$	Central interfibrillary distance (nm) (mean $\pm$ SEM)	Peripheral interfibrillary distance (nm) (mean $\pm$ SEM)	Length (nm) (mean $\pm$ SEM)
PGE2	58 ± 3	30 ± 2	34 ± 2	2268 ± 177
Control	$53 \pm 3$	20 ± 2	$24 \pm 3$	$2141~\pm~310$
t test	<i>P</i> = .07	P < .001	<i>P</i> = .002	P = .51

Table I Mean concentration of organized collagen at term (day 21) after administration of selective and nonselective PGE2 agonists (rat)

	% Birefringence (mean $\pm$ SEM)	Dunnett's test P value
Control PGE2 (nonselective)	$21 \pm 2$ 14 ± 1	.009

PMID15746668

PMIDs 16325747,26608218